



Circum Network inc.
management and research consulting

74 Val Perché Street
Hull, Québec J8Z 2A6
(819)770-2423, ☎ (819)770-5196
service@circum.com
<http://circum.com>

rigour – transparentness – creativity – relevance

Assessment of the Increase in Passport Applications Expected from the 1P1P Policy

Final Report

Prepared for

Organization and Methods Services
Canadian Passport Office
Place du Centre, 6th floor
200 Promenade du Portage
Hull, Quebec
K1A 0G3

March 9, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
Chapter 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter 2	
METHODOLOGY	3
2.1 Questionnaire Design	3
2.2 Sampling	4
2.3 Fieldwork	5
2.4 Data Processing	6
2.5 Limits of this Research	7
Chapter 3	
ISSUES	9
3.1 1P1P Policy	9
3.2 Expected Increases in Work Volumes	12
APPENDIX A Questionnaire	
APPENDIX B Detailed Data Tables	

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

In 1999, The Canadian Passport Office commissioned a study to determine the level of support offered by its clients to a variety of possible policy and procedure changes.¹ One of these possible changes was to line up Canadian procedures to the internationally accepted standard that each person should bear their own passport, i.e. the so-called 1P1P policy.

Since then, the Passport Office has studied the concept further and has developed a fee schedule for it. The present study updates the 1999 results on the acceptance of the 1P1P policy among the most concerned client group — parents who have listed one or more of their children in their passport, i.e. 7% of passport holders — and provides evidence concerning the volume of passport application requests which may be generated by the implementation of the 1P1P policy.

Context

The following paragraph traces the client opinion context present in 1999 as it related to the 1P1P policy.

¹ **Circum Network Inc.**, *Market Study Concerning Express Service, Mail Delivery, 1P1P Policy and Other Topics*, report prepared for the Canadian Passport Office, July 1999.

For average Passport Office clients, the reduction of international child smuggling through passport security features ranked seventh in importance in a list of 23 passport characteristics: 82% of respondents from a general client sample rated this aspect 8, 9 or 10 on a 10-point scale, 12 percentage points away from the top priority.

Clients who had listed one or more of their children in their passport rated the reduction of international child smuggling slightly higher: 85% gave this a high score, making it their third priority. Yet, 65% of them rated "demanding that children and adults require their own separate passport as a way of improving passport security and of reducing international child smuggling" as highly reasonable (8, 9 or 10 on a 10-point scale).

The main reason (28%, *ex aequo* with the simplicity of the process) why parents chose to list their children in their passport was the lower cost of this method. The 1P1P policy would mean that parents would have to pay additional fees, even if they were partly waved, for the children's passports.

The second most important reason cited by parents to explain why they chose to list their children in their passport is convenience: 28% noted the simpler application process and 4% mentioned other convenience-related issues such as the reduced risk of losing documents.

Half of parents (49%) thought that 1P1P would be somewhat or not at all effective to curtail international child smuggling; on the other hand, 42% thought it would be very effective.

Almost one parent in ten thought that their child was too young to get a separate passport and another one in ten did not know that a separate passport was an option.

Structure of the report

The study is based on a solid telephone survey methodology presented in Chapter 2. The main results are provided in Chapter 3. Appendices reproduce the English and French versions of the questionnaire as well as the detailed data tables.

Chapter 2

METHODOLOGY

2.1 Questionnaire Design

The questionnaire aimed at measuring the reaction to the 1P1P policy of the Passport Office clients most concerned with it, i.e. parents who have listed one or more of their children in their passport.

The questionnaire was designed based on past research (especially the seminal work conducted in April 1999 and the recent study of possible passport changes (2001) on similar issues), information requirements expressed by Passport Office officials and market research literature. It is presented in Appendix 1.

The questionnaire is structured as follows:

- questions 1 and 2 confirm the eligibility of the respondent; passport bearers with children listed in their passport were targeted;
- question 3 establishes the number of children listed in the passport while question 4 (a statement rather than a question) directs the

respondent who have listed more than one child to answer questions based on the child who had their birthday most recently.

- questions 5, 6 and 7 analyse the behaviour of the parent at the time the passport was issued and hypothesize about their behaviour in case a free listing in their passport had not been possible;
- questions 8 and 9 ask the respondent to assess the reasonableness of the proposed policy and fee schedule, as well as the expected effectiveness of the policy; these questions were borrowed from a recent survey concerning passport issues in 2001¹;
- questions 10 to 12 investigate the possibility that the parent may decide to purchase a separate passport under the 1P1P fee schedule since the cost is less, for most children, than the full adult cost.

The questionnaire was submitted to 10 pre-test interviews in each official language. Adjustments were made to ease the interviewer's work and to improve participants' understanding of the questions.

2.2 **Sampling**

The population of interest for this study was all Canadian passport holders who have applied for a passport between May 1999 and February 2001 and who have listed at least one child in their passport (so-called 1P1P clients).

1P1P clients can be located in two Passport Office data bases: CUPID and IRIS. However, CUPID contains neither the address of the client nor their telephone number while IRIS contains the address but not the telephone number. Hence, only data from IRIS were used; for a period of reference, IRIS contained 19% of all passports with listings. The use of IRIS-only data had the effect of excluding the following offices from the survey: Brampton, Ottawa and Richmond.

¹ **Circum Network Inc.**, *Public Consultation on Passport Policy and Procedure Changes, 2001*, prepared for the Canadian Passport Office, March 2001.

A systematic random sample of 1,105 names was extracted from the IRIS data base. A total of 898 telephone numbers (or 81%) were identified based on name and address information, using nation-wide computerized telephone listings.

The interview was directed at the passport holder. No substitution was allowed.

2.3 Fieldwork

Telephone interviews were completed by Echo Sondage Inc. between February 27, 2001 and March 3, 2001. The following table provides a breakdown of fieldwork results, as per the standards on data collection reporting proposed by the Professional Marketing Research Society. An optimistic and a pessimistic analysis of the response rate are provided; the former is the most practical while the latter is the most prudent.

Cases which were still in the call queue at the completion of the fieldwork had been attempted 17 times on average.

The response rate achieved surpasses best industry practices considering the short time frame available for the field work and the constrained calling hours. The refusal rate is much better than that achieved in the average public survey.

EXHIBIT 2.1
Fieldwork Results

States after the last call	Optimistic approach	Pessimistic approach
	# of numbers	# of numbers
Original sample size (a)	1,105	1,105
Unidentified numbers (b)	207	
Non-residential numbers (c)	37	
Discontinued numbers (d)	129	
Unknown at that number (e)	94	
No passport (f) ¹	21	21
No child listed (g) ²	31	31
Total non eligible (h)	519	52
Eligible people (i = a - h)	586	1,053
Refusals (j)	82	82
No answer, busy, appointments	110	110
Language problems	20	20
Incomplete	1	1
Completed (k)	373	373
Response rate (k / i)	64%	35%
Refusal rate (j / i)	14%	7%
Error margin for the entire eligible sample for a proportion of 50%, at a 95% confidence level; includes an adjustment for design effect associated with the weighting for parents.	± 7.5 percentage points	± 7.5 percentage points
¹ Because the sample goes back to May 1999, it is possible that some clients don't possess the passport anymore. It is also possible that they failed to recollect correctly.		
² Some clients indicated that the child or the children were not listed anymore in their passport. Some others may have failed to recollect correctly.		

2.4 Data Processing

Survey data were managed using VoxCo's StatXP software. Data were edited to ensure conformity to the established response categories and to limit the distributions of unbound variables within reasonable values. Filtering logic

instructions were developed to ensure that the reported data conform to the skip logic of the questionnaire.

The data are weighted according to the office which delivered the passport. Where appropriate, additional weighting was applied to represent the number of children listed in the passports.

2.5 *Limits of this Research*

Survey participants were presented with hypothetical situations both in terms of what they would have done at the time of their passport application had the 1P1P policy been in place and in terms of their behaviour now if the policy was implemented. Respondents provided their best possible answers to these questions and the questionnaire was worded so as to afford them the time to reflect. Yet, answers about intentions and possibilities, given in the context of a survey, are only approximations of the real behaviour that clients will exhibit when faced with the situation in the real world.

The results should therefore be interpreted with caution notwithstanding the fact that these numbers are certainly better indications of probable behaviour than anyone's instinct.

Chapter 3

ISSUES

3.1 **1P1P Policy**

The implementation of the 1P1P policy will generate moderate to weak support from parents who have listed their children in their passport.

Overall, the 1P1P policy received the strong support of 38% of 1P1P survey respondents (see Exhibit 3.1), producing an average rating of 5.4. This level of support is much lower than that offered by a sample of the general public where 57% provided a high rating.

Support for the fee schedule for children up to 11 years old equals that of the general policy statement (39% and 5.4 concerning the fee for children under 4; 43% and 6.0 concerning the fee for children between 4 and 11). The free replacement for children aged less than 1 at the time of issuance enjoys even stronger support with 69% of respondents rating it 8 to 10 (average of 7.7). Strong support for the application of the full adult fee for children aged 12 to 15 drops to 17% (average of 3.4).

Surprisingly, the number of children listed in the passport has no impact on the parent's support for the policy.¹

EXHIBIT 3.1
Acceptance of the 1P1P Policy and Proposals

	1P1P Clients (n=370)	All Canadians¹ (n=1229)
	% rating 8, 9 and 10 on a 0-10 scale [average value]	
Every child should get his or her own passport	35% [5.4]	57% [7.0]
Every child should get his or her own passport but it would cost \$50 less for children under the age of 4 and it would be valid for 3 years instead of 5	39% [5.4]	49% [6.2]
If the first passport was issued when the child was less than 1 year old, one replacement passport would be free	69% [7.7]	69% [7.7]
Every child should get his or her own passport but it would cost \$25 less for children aged 4 to 11	43% [6.0]	55% [6.8]
Every child should get his or her own passport and it would cost the full price for people aged 12 to 15	17% [3.4]	26% [4.9]
In your view, how effective would it be to demand that children possess their own passport in order to curtail international child smuggling?	Very effective 32% Somewhat effective 33% Not at all effective 25% Don't know 10%	40% 41% 15% 4%

Source: pages B-10 to B-13.
¹ Public Consultation on Passport Policy and Procedure Changes, 2001, prepared for the Canadian Passport Office, March 2001.

Perceptions of the effectiveness of the 1P1P policy to curtail international child smuggling is the key driver of support for the implementation of the policy.

The main influence on reactions to the 1P1P policy and to the proposed fee schedule is the perception entertained by individuals as to the effectiveness of the 1P1P approach to curtail child smuggling. The same relationship was

¹ Stronger support for the free replacement passport for children under one year of age among parents who listed a single child is probably an artifact of the relationship between the probability of having a young child and the probability of having listed a single child in the passport.

uncovered among the general population in another recent survey.¹ One third of respondents (32%) thought that 1P1P would be "very effective"; a second, same-size group (33%) indicated that it thought that it would be "somewhat effective"; finally, a group of 25% signalled that it would be "not at all effective" (page B-13).

As shown in Exhibit 3.2, the level of support for the policy and the fee schedule drops drastically as the perceptions of the policy effectiveness become more pessimistic. For example, strong support for the policy itself rates at 50% among those who think it would be very effective and drops to 38% among those who think it would be somewhat effective and to 24% among the group thinking that it would not be effective at all. Note that this relationship is not an artifact of respondents attempting to be coherent in their answers since the question concerning 1P1P effectiveness was posed after the questions about the acceptability of the policy.

There are important and obvious communications implications in this relationship. Any improvement in the public perception of the effectiveness of the 1P1P policy will likely translate into significantly more support for its implementation.

¹ **Circum Network Inc.**, *Public Consultation on Passport Policy and Procedure Changes, 2001*, prepared for the Canadian Passport Office, March 2001.

EXHIBIT 3.2
Acceptance of the 1P1P Policy and Proposals According to
Perceptions of the 1P1P Policy Effectiveness

% rating 8, 9 and 10 on a 0-10 reasonableness scale	In your view, how effective would it be to demand that children possess their own passport in order to curtail international child smuggling? Would it be very effective, somewhat effective or not at all effective?		
	Very effective (n=121)	Somewhat effective (n=133)	Not at all effective (n=95)
Every child should get his or her own passport	50%	38%	24%
Every child should get his or her own passport but it would cost \$50 less for children under the age of 4 and it would be valid for 3 years instead of 5	48%	41%	27%
If the first passport was issued when the child was less than 1 year old, one replacement passport would be free	81%	73%	60%
Every child should get his or her own passport but it would cost \$25 less for children aged 4 to 11	64%	42%	24%
Every child should get his or her own passport and it would cost the full price for people aged 12 to 15	25%	17%	13%

Source: page B-9; all differences between groups defined by the perception of effectiveness are statistically significant at least at the 0.05 level.

3.2 **Expected Increases in Work Volumes**

The implementation of the 1P1P policy will necessarily increase the demand for passports since the requirement for a passport will extend into a population — people aged 15 or less — which could travel without this document up to that point, as long as a parent had listed the child in their passport.

The theory

The implementation of the 1P1P policy will provoke a transient effect and a permanent effect.

The increased demand will flow from two dynamics:

- *A transient effect which will take place over a few months:* some parents who have listed one or more of their children in their passport at the last renewal may want to take the opportunity of the lower 1P1P fee associated with improved security features to request a passport for one or more of the children before the end of the validity period of their passport.

This effect is transient since it affects only parents who have children currently listed in their passport. This category of clients will have completely disappeared within five years of the introduction of the 1P1P policy.

We can assume that rational clients would not consider this option late in the validity period of their passport. We have hypothesized that only parents whose passport is in the first, second or third year of validity may consider this decision. Furthermore, we can hypothesize that this effect will take place rapidly after the publication of the 1P1P policy; we have assumed that it would occur over a 12-month period since a longer period would strain the rationality of the buyer.

- *A permanent effect on the demand for new passports:* under the 1P1P policy, some of the children who are now listed in a parent's passport will require their own passport. This will not be the case for all children as the current zero-cost of the listing of a child brings some parents to list children for whom they may not request a passport if they had to pay for it.

It is therefore assumed in this analysis that new passports will be requested when a child requires a travel document and when a child does not immediately require a travel document but the parent is willing to pay at least the proposed cost.

The application

Exhibits 3.3 and 3.4 present the results of the application of the theory outlined above.

The one-time, 12-month transient effect of the 1P1P policy should amount to 123,000 additional applications.

Transient effect. According to the data gathered in this study, based on respondents who were able to form an opinion, it can be expected that **between 25% and 46%** (see Exhibit 3.3) of children currently listed in passports issued within three years of the implementation of the 1P1P policy will apply for their own passport within twelve months of the initiation of the policy. Assuming the probability of acting on intentions is 100% is the "almost certain" group and 50% in the "possible" group, **the best available point estimate for the transient effect is 35%.**

**EXHIBIT 3.3
Distribution of the Likelihood to Purchase a Passport for a Child Before the End of the Validity Period**

	All children listed in parents' passports (n of parents=368)	
	All answers	Decided respondents
Almost certain ¹	23%	25%
Possible ²	19%	21%
Unlikely ³	50%	54%
Don't know	8%	—

Source: page B-20

¹ Answered "likely" to question 11 reproduced below and "almost certain" to question 12 reproduced below.

² Answered "likely" to question 11 and "simply a possibility" to question 12.

³ Answered "unlikely" to question 11.

Question 11: "Considering that you may keep your child listed in your passport for 5 years, would it be likely or unlikely that, in order to benefit from new characteristics against fraud before the end of these 5 years, you request a separate passport for your child if it cost:

- (IF "LESS THAN 1 YEAR OLD" AT Q10) \$50 less than an adult passport, and was valid for 3 years and the first replacement was free;
- (IF "1 TO 3 YEARS OLD" AT Q10) \$50 less than an adult passport and was valid for 3 years;
- (IF "4 TO 11 YEARS OLD" AT Q10) \$25 less than an adult passport;
- (IF "12 TO 15 YEARS OLD" AT Q10) the same as an adult passport.

Question 12: Would you say that this would simply be a possibility or that it would be almost certain?

Considering that, according to Passport Office data, some 351,400 children were added to parents' passports over the period 1998-2001, based on the hypotheses laid out in the theory above, we expect that the transient effect should amount to 123,000 additional passport requests over a 12-month period.¹ Of course, this assumes that parents are made aware of the 1P1P fee schedule and of the improved passport security features.

The permanent increase in applications should reach 68,900.

Permanent effect. The responses from this survey indicate that 48% of children required a travel document at the time of the parent's passport application and that, for an additional 10%, the parent would have applied for a separate passport while being willing to pay at least the amount indicated in the fee schedule. We therefore estimate that **58% of children who are currently added to the parents' passports will convert** into new passport applications under the 1P1P policy.

Based on the current rate of listing of 118,800 children per year (1999-2000), this should translate into 68,900 new passport applications annually for years to come.

The impact should be an 10% increase in the first year of the policy and a 4% increase thereafter.

Combined effect. The combined permanent and transient effect should produce approximately 191,900 additional passport applications in the first twelve months of implementation of the policy (a 10% increase over the current 1.9 million applications) and approximately 68,900 additional applications thereafter (a 4% increase over the status quo).

¹ In the last three complete fiscal years, the number of children listed in new passports was 105,092 (1997-98), 113,824 (1998-99) and 118,806 (1999-2000). The numbers available year-to-date for 2000-2001 suggest that the volume will remain constant for this fiscal year. The total listings for 1998-2001 should therefore be approximately 351,400. The transient effect is then $351,400 \times \text{transient effect of } 35\% = 123,000$ new applications.

EXHIBIT 3.4
**Distribution of the Likelihood to Purchase a Passport for a Child
Who Would Have Been Listed Without the 1P1P Policy**

	All children listed in parents' passports (n of parents=368)	
	All answers	Decided respondents
The child who was listed needed the document ¹	45%	48%
The parent would likely apply and is willing to pay according to the fee schedule ²	9%	10%
The parent would likely apply but is unwilling to pay according to the fee schedule ³	9%	10%
The parent is unlikely to apply ⁴	28%	30%
Other	1%	1%
Don't know	7%	—

Source: page B-19

¹ Answered "needed a document" to question 5 reproduced below.

² Answered "just in case" to question 5, "likely" to question 6 reproduced below and a value commensurate to the age of the child (question 10) and the proposed fee schedule to question 7 reproduced below.

³ Answered "just in case" to question 5, "likely" to question 6 but a value inferior to the fee commensurate to the age of the child (question 10) to question 7.

⁴ Answered "just in case" to question 5 and "unlikely" to question 6.

Question 5: When you requested that your child be listed in your passport, did you need a document to travel with this child or did you request the listing just in case it could be useful?

Question 6: The recent issuance of a passport cost you \$60 and your child was listed in your passport at no charge. If a free listing had not been possible, would you have been likely or unlikely to purchase a separate passport for your child?

Question 7: How much would you have been willing to pay to obtain it?

APPENDIX A

Questionnaire

1P1P MARKET STUDY
Canadian Passport Office
for use over the telephone

INTRODUCTION: (GET IN TOUCH WITH THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE LIST.) **Hello. My name is _____ and I work for Echo Survey. We have been commissioned by the Passport Office to conduct a study among a few people who have recently obtained a new passport. Of course your participation is voluntary, but we are really counting on you to give us your opinion. It will take about 5 minutes of your time, and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. May I start now?**

Question	Answer
Who is this survey done for?	The survey is commissioned by the Canadian Passport Office.
How will my answers be kept confidential?	No individual answers will be reported, only percentages and averages. Also, your name or phone number will not be associated with your answers.
Why would I answer this survey?	The results of this study will be used to make important decisions on changes to the passport application process. Since only a limited number of people will be involved in the study, your participation is extremely important.
What is this survey about?	The questions are about the issuance of passports to young Canadians.

SCREENING

Q1 First, I would like to confirm that you currently hold a valid Canadian passport?

- YES 1
- No 2 >> **TERMINATE**
- DK/NR 9 >> **TERMINATE**

Q2 Is any child listed in your passport?

YES 1
No 2 >> **TERMINATE**
DK/NR 9 >> **TERMINATE**

SELECTION OF A CHILD FOR FURTHER QUESTIONING

Q3 How many children are listed in your passport?

NUMBER _____ 1
DK/NR 9

(IF MORE THAN ONE CHILD AT Q3)

Q4 In the next questions, I would like to talk about the child who has had his or her birthday most recently. Please think about which child that is and tell me when to continue.

OK 1

WILLINGNESS TO PAY

Q5 When you requested that your child be listed in your passport, did you need a document to travel with this child or did you request the listing just in case it could be useful? (DO NOT READ)

NEEDED A DOCUMENT 1
JUST IN CASE IT WOULD BE USEFUL 2
OTHER, SPECIFY _____ 8
DK/NR 9

(IF "JUST IN CASE" AT Q5)

Q6 The recent issuance of a passport cost you \$60 and your child was listed in your passport at no charge. If a free listing had not been possible, would you have been likely or unlikely to purchase a separate passport for your child?

LIKELY	1
UNLIKELY	2
DK/NR	9

(IF "LIKELY" AT Q6)

Q7 How much would you have been willing to pay to obtain it? (DO NOT READ)

AMOUNT _____	1
I WOULD HAVE PAID WHATEVER WAS REQUESTED BY THE PASSPORT OFFICE	8
DK/NR	9

TEST OF THE PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Q8 Currently, Canadians under the age of 16 may travel abroad without a passport of their own as long as they travel with a parent and that their name figures in the parent's passport. Some people think that this situation may contribute to international child smuggling; others may think otherwise. Using a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means not at all reasonable and 10 means totally reasonable, how reasonable would you find each of the following suggestions?

- 8.1 Every child should get his or her own passport
- 8.2 Every child should get his or her own passport but it would cost \$50 less for children under the age of 4 and it would be valid for 3 years instead of 5
- 8.3 If the first passport was issued when the child was less than 1 year old, one replacement passport would be free
- 8.4 Every child should get his or her own passport but it would cost \$25 less for children aged 4 to 11
- 8.5 Every child should get his or her own passport and it would cost the full price for people aged 12 to 15.

Q9 In your view, how effective would it be to demand that children possess their own passport in order to curtail international child smuggling? Would it be very effective, somewhat effective or not at all effective? (RANDOM PRESENTATION OF THE ORDER OF THE SCALE)

VERY EFFECTIVE	1
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	2
NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	3
DK/NR	9

Q10 In which of the following age categories did your child belong when he or she was listed in your passport?

LESS THAN 1 YEAR OLD	1
1 TO 3 YEARS OLD	2
4 TO 11 YEARS OLD	3
12 TO 15 YEARS OLD	4
DK/NR	9

Q11 Considering that you may keep your child listed in your passport for 5 years, would it be likely or unlikely that, in order to benefit from new characteristics against fraud before the end of these 5 years, you request a separate passport for your child if it cost:

- (IF "LESS THAN 1 YEAR OLD" AT Q10) \$50 less than an adult passport, and was valid for 3 years and the first replacement was free;
- (IF "1 TO 3 YEARS OLD" AT Q10) \$50 less than an adult passport and was valid for 3 years;
- (IF "4 TO 11 YEARS OLD" AT Q10) \$25 less than an adult passport;
- (IF "12 TO 15 YEARS OLD" AT Q10) the same as an adult passport.

LIKELY	1
UNLIKELY	2
DK/NR	9

(IF "LIKELY" AT Q11)

Q12 Would you say that this would simply be a possibility or that it would be almost certain?

A POSSIBILITY	1
ALMOST CERTAIN	2
DK/NR	9

THANK AND TERMINATE

Q13 (DO NOT ASK) Interview language

FRENCH	1
ENGLISH	2

Q14 (DO NOT ASK) Gender

FEMALE	1
MALE	2

Q15 (DO NOT ASK) Province

NEWFOUNDLAND	1
PEI	2
NEW BRUNSWICK	3
NOVA SCOTIA	4
QUEBEC	5
ONTARIO	6
MANITOBA	7
SASKATCHEWAN	8
ALBERTA	9
BRITISH COLUMBIA AND TERRITORIES	10

ÉTUDE DE MARCHÉ 1P1P
Bureau des passeports du Canada
pour usage au téléphone

INTRODUCTION: (CONTACTER LA PERSONNE IDENTIFIÉE DANS LA LISTE.) **Bonjour/Bonsoir. Je m'appelle _____ et je travaille pour la firme Écho Sondage. Nous sommes mandatés par le Bureau des passeports du Canada pour mener une étude auprès d'un petit nombre de personnes ayant récemment obtenu un passeport. Bien sûr, votre participation est volontaire, mais nous comptons vraiment sur vous. Cette conversation durera environ 5 minutes et vos réponses demeureront entièrement confidentielles. Puis-je commencer?**

Question	Answer
Pour qui le sondage est-il réalisé?	Le sondage est commandité par le Bureau des passeports du Canada.
Comment mes réponses peuvent-elles demeurer confidentielles?	Le rapport ne renfermera aucune réponse individuelle, seulement des pourcentages et des moyennes. Vos nom et numéro de téléphone ne seront pas non plus associés à vos réponses.
Pourquoi devrais-je répondre à ce sondage?	Les résultats de cette étude serviront à prendre des décisions importantes concernant le processus de demande de passeports. Puisque l'étude ne s'adresse qu'à un petit nombre de personnes, votre participation est extrêmement importante.
En quoi consiste le sondage?	Les questions touchent l'obtention du passeport pour les jeunes canadiens.

FILTRAGE

Q1 D'abord, j'aimerais confirmer que vous détenez bien présentement un passeport canadien valide?

- Oui 1
- Non 2 >> **METTRE FIN À L'ENTREVUE**
- NSP/NRP 9 >> **METTRE FIN À L'ENTREVUE**

Q2 Y a-t-il un enfant d'inscrit sur votre passeport?

OUI 1
NON 2 >> **METTRE FIN À L'ENTREVUE**
NSP/NRP 9 >> **METTRE FIN À L'ENTREVUE**

SÉLECTION D'UN ENFANT POUR QUESTIONNEMENT

Q3 Combien d'enfants y a-t-il d'inscrits sur votre passeport?

NOMBRE _____ 1
NSP/NRP 9

(SI PLUS D'UN ENFANT À Q3)

Q4 Les prochaines questions feront référence à l'enfant, parmi ceux qui sont inscrits sur votre passeport, qui a fêté son anniversaire le plus récemment. Veuillez prendre le temps de l'identifier, et dites-moi quand continuer.

OK 1

DISPONIBILITÉ À PAYER

Q5 Lorsque vous avez demandé à ce que votre enfant soit inscrit dans votre passeport, aviez-vous besoin d'un document pour voyager avec cet enfant ou l'avez-vous fait inscrire juste au cas où cela serait utile? (NE PAS LIRE)

AVAIT BESOIN D'UN DOCUMENT 1
JUSTE AU CAS OÙ CE SERAIT UTILE 2
AUTRE, PRÉCISER _____ 8
NSP/NRP 9

(SI « JUSTE AU CAS » À Q5)

Q6 Votre passeport vous a récemment coûté 60 \$ et votre enfant a été inscrit gratuitement. Si une inscription gratuite sur votre passeport n'avait pas été possible, est-il probable ou improbable que vous ayez acheté un passeport séparé pour votre enfant?

PROBABLE	1
IMPROBABLE	2
NSP/NRP	9

(SI « PROBABLE » À Q6)

Q7 Combien auriez-vous été prêt à payer pour l'obtenir? (NE PAS LIRE)

MONTANT _____	1
J'AURAI PAYÉ N'IMPORTE QUEL MONTANT EXIGÉ PAR LE BUREAU DES PASSEPORTS	8
NSP/NRP	9

TEST DES PROPOSITIONS

Q8 Présentement, les Canadiens de moins de 16 ans peuvent voyager à l'étranger sans être titulaires d'un passeport pourvu qu'ils voyagent avec l'un ou l'autre de leurs parents et que leur nom figure dans le passeport de ce parent. Certains croient que cette situation risque de contribuer au trafic international d'enfants; d'autres sont d'avis contraire. Selon une échelle de 0 à 10 où 0 signifie pas du tout raisonnable et 10, tout à fait raisonnable, dans quelle mesure trouveriez-vous raisonnable chacune des suggestions suivantes?

(PAS DE PERMUTATION)

- 8.1 Chaque enfant devrait posséder son propre passeport
- 8.2 Chaque enfant devrait posséder son propre passeport, mais qui coûterait 50 \$ de moins pour les enfants de moins de 4 ans et qui serait valide pour 3 ans au lieu de 5
- 8.3 Si l'enfant n'avait pas encore un an à l'émission du premier passeport, le premier renouvellement serait gratuit
- 8.4 Chaque enfant devrait posséder son propre passeport, mais qui coûterait 25 \$ de moins pour les enfants âgés de 4 à 11 ans
- 8.5 Chaque enfant devrait posséder son propre passeport qui coûterait le plein montant pour les personnes de 12 à 15 ans.

Q9 Selon vous, l'exigence que les enfants possèdent leur propre passeport serait-elle très efficace, plutôt efficace ou pas du tout efficace pour réduire le trafic international d'enfants?
(PRÉSENTATION ALÉATOIRE DE L'ORDRE DES RÉPONSES)

TRÈS EFFICACE	1
PLUTÔT EFFICACE	2
PAS DU TOUT EFFICACE	3
NSP/NRP	9

Q10 Auquel des groupes d'âges suivants votre enfant appartenait-il au moment de son inscription sur votre passeport?

MOINS DE 1 AN	1
1 À 3 ANS	2
4 À 11 ANS	3
12 À 15 ANS	4
NSP/NRP	9

Q11 Étant entendu que vous pouvez laisser votre enfant inscrit dans votre passeport pour 5 ans, serait-il probable ou improbable que, pour profiter de nouvelles caractéristiques contre la fraude avant la fin de ces 5 ans, vous demandiez un passeport distinct pour votre enfant s'il coûtait

- (SI « MOINS DE 1 AN » À Q10) 50 \$ de moins qu'un passeport pour adultes, s'il était valide pour 3 ans et si le premier renouvellement était gratuit;
- (SI « 1 À 3 ANS » À Q10) 50 \$ de moins qu'un passeport pour adultes et était valide pour 3 ans;
- (SI « 4 À 11 ANS » À Q10) 25 \$ de moins qu'un passeport pour adultes;
- (SI « 12 À 15 ANS » À Q10) le même prix qu'un passeport pour adultes.

PROBABLE	1
IMPROBABLE	2
NSP/NRP	9

(SI « PROBABLE » À Q11)

Q12 Diriez-vous que cela serait une simple possibilité ou que ce serait presque certain?

UNE POSSIBILITÉ	1
PRESQUE CERTAIN	2
NSP/NRP	9

REMERCIER ET METTRE FIN À L'ENTREVUE

Q13 (NE PAS DEMANDER) Langue de l'entrevue

FRANÇAIS	1
ANGLAIS	2

Q14 (NE PAS DEMANDER) Sexe

FEMME	1
HOMME	2

Q15 (NE PAS DEMANDER) Province

TERRE-NEUVE	1
ÎPÉ	2
NOUVEAU-BRUNSWICK	3
NOUVELLE-ÉCOSSE	4
QUÉBEC	5
ONTARIO	6
MANITOBA	7
SASKATCHEWAN	8
ALBERTA	9
COLOMBIE-BRITANNIQUE ET TERRITOIRES	10

APPENDIX B

Detailed Data Tables

First, I would like to confirm that you currently hold a valid Canadian passport?

NOTE: Unweighted data

		NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT			EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT		
		TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q1	real # of participants:	425	174	162	34	121	135	95	42	90	173	65	305	120
	Yes	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	91%
	No	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	9%
	DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	chi ² : ± ... at 50%:	5	7	8	17	9	8	10	15	10	7	12	(*) 6	9

Is any child listed in your passport?

NOTE: Unweighted data

		NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT			EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT		
		TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q2	real # of participants:	404	174	162	34	121	135	95	42	90	173	65	295	109
	Yes	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	90%
	No	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	10%
	DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	chi ² : ± ... at 50%:	5	7	8	17	9	8	10	15	10	7	12	6	9

How many children are listed in your passport?
 (BASE: Have a valid passport)

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1PIP			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q3													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	373	174	162	34	121	135	95	42	90	173	65	275	98
One	47%	100%	0%	0%	48%	47%	47%	71%	50%	40%	39%	46%	47%
Two	42%	0%	100%	0%	41%	45%	41%	28%	39%	44%	56%	41%	47%
Three or more	11%	0%	0%	100%	11%	8%	12%	1%	11%	16%	5%	13%	6%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
chi ² :		(***)						***					
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15
mean for these data:	1.66	1.00	2.00	3.11	1.62	1.61	1.65	1.31	1.64	1.77	1.66	1.68	1.59
sig. test for means:		***	***	***				***		**			

When you requested that your child be listed in your passport, did you need a document to travel with this child or did you request the listing just in case it could be useful?

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q5													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Needed a document	48%	54%	44%	35%	37%	47%	49%	62%	38%	52%	43%	50%	41%
Just in case it would be useful	48%	43%	49%	64%	57%	51%	45%	36%	54%	45%	56%	45%	58%
Other	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
DK/NR	3%	2%	5%	2%	4%	1%	6%	3%	8%	2%	0%	4%	0%
chi ² :									++			+	-
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15

The recent issuance of a passport cost you \$60 and your child was listed in your passport at no charge. If a free listing had not been possible, would you have been likely or unlikely to purchase a separate passport for your child?
 (BASE: Listed just in case)

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q6													
weightd # of participants:	176	73	77	26	66	62	41	18	54	71	33	124	52
real # of participants:	173	75	79	19	58	65	43	15	42	74	41	118	55
Likely	42%	51%	41%	17%	57%	29%	43%	75%	35%	33%	55%	46%	33%
Unlikely	53%	45%	57%	65%	39%	70%	49%	18%	59%	60%	45%	49%	62%
DK/NR	5%	4%	2%	18%	4%	1%	7%	6%	6%	7%	0%	5%	5%
chi ² :		(**)			(**)			(*)					
± ... at 50%:	11	17	16	33	19	18	22	38	22	17	23	13	20

How much would you have been willing to pay to obtain it?
 (BASE: Would have been likely to request a separate passport)

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q7													
weightd # of participants:	74	37	32	4	38	18	18	13	19	24	18	57	17
real # of participants:	70	37	29	4	32	24	14	7	17	25	21	49	21
Up to \$29	30%	26%	28%	79%	37%	29%	16%	5%	42%	36%	28%	30%	31%
\$30 to \$54	19%	19%	18%	21%	21%	20%	13%	9%	5%	21%	36%	14%	33%
\$55 to \$59	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$60	44%	44%	51%	0%	39%	32%	67%	81%	46%	33%	29%	47%	34%
DK/NR	7%	11%	2%	0%	2%	20%	4%	5%	7%	8%	6%	9%	0%
chi ² :													
± ... at 50%:	17	24	27	73	26	30	39	55	35	29	32	21	32
mean for these data:	40.7	40.6	43.4	23.1	38.9	38.3	46.6	56.1	40.5	35.1	36.8	42.3	35.9
sig. test for means:				**				**					

Currently, Canadians under the age of 16 may travel abroad without a passport of their own as long as they travel with a parent and that their name figures in the parent's passport. Some people think that this situation may contribute to international child smuggling; others may think otherwise. On

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q8													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Every child should get their own passport	35%	36%	35%	34%	50%	38%	14%	33%	41%	33%	33%	35%	37%
\$50 less for children under 4	39%	44%	39%	21%	48%	41%	27%	39%	45%	35%	41%	40%	38%
Free replacement for children less than 1	69%	78%	63%	55%	81%	73%	60%	82%	73%	65%	63%	71%	63%
\$25 less for children 4 to 11	43%	48%	40%	38%	64%	42%	24%	38%	54%	40%	41%	45%	38%
Full price between 12 and 15	17%	15%	20%	17%	25%	17%	13%	18%	23%	14%	15%	15%	23%
chi ² :					***								
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15

Currently, Canadians under the age of 16 may travel abroad without a passport of their own as long as they travel with a parent and that their name figures in the parent's passport. Some people think that this situation may contribute to international child smuggling; others may think otherwise. On NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q8A Every child should get their own passport													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Top (8-10)	35%	36%	35%	34%	50%	38%	14%	33%	41%	33%	33%	35%	37%
Medium range (5-7)	31%	33%	31%	17%	29%	29%	33%	47%	25%	26%	39%	32%	25%
Low (0-4)	33%	30%	32%	49%	20%	32%	52%	18%	33%	40%	28%	32%	37%
DK/NR	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
chi ² :					(***)								
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15
mean for these data:	5.35	5.47	5.50	4.36	6.51	5.74	3.36	5.76	5.61	4.95	5.75	5.31	5.49
sig. test for means:					***		***			*			
Q8B \$50 less for children under 4													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Top (8-10)	39%	44%	39%	21%	48%	41%	27%	39%	45%	35%	41%	40%	38%
Medium range (5-7)	18%	19%	20%	5%	24%	24%	7%	18%	19%	19%	15%	17%	21%
Low (0-4)	39%	36%	34%	72%	26%	35%	65%	42%	35%	45%	28%	39%	39%
DK/NR	3%	1%	7%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	16%	4%	2%
chi ² :		(***)			(***)			(***)			+++		
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15
mean for these data:	5.37	5.64	5.58	3.47	6.42	5.78	3.68	5.32	5.85	4.97	5.89	5.53	4.89
sig. test for means:				**	***		***			*			

Currently, Canadians under the age of 16 may travel abroad without a passport of their own as long as they travel with a parent and that their name figures in the parent's passport. Some people think that this situation may contribute to international child smuggling; others may think otherwise. On NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q8C Free replacement for children less than 1													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Top (8-10)	69%	78%	63%	55%	81%	73%	60%	82%	73%	65%	63%	71%	63%
Medium range (5-7)	10%	6%	13%	14%	7%	11%	12%	3%	8%	13%	7%	10%	9%
Low (0-4)	17%	15%	17%	30%	10%	14%	26%	15%	17%	19%	15%	15%	26%
DK/NR	4%	1%	7%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	16%	5%	2%
chi ² :		(**)			(*)			(***)					
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15
mean for these data:	7.67	8.25	7.27	6.65	8.62	7.94	6.63	8.13	7.97	7.31	7.68	7.95	6.81
sig. test for means:		**			***		***					**	**
Q8D \$25 less for children 4 to 11													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Top (8-10)	43%	48%	40%	38%	64%	42%	24%	38%	54%	40%	41%	45%	38%
Medium range (5-7)	24%	26%	23%	19%	20%	32%	26%	41%	19%	26%	15%	23%	27%
Low (0-4)	29%	25%	30%	41%	15%	24%	49%	22%	25%	33%	28%	28%	32%
DK/NR	4%	2%	7%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	17%	4%	2%
chi ² :			+		(***)			(***)					
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15
mean for these data:	6.03	6.39	5.82	5.29	7.50	6.11	4.20	6.31	6.76	5.48	5.99	6.20	5.51
sig. test for means:					***		***		*	*			

Currently, Canadians under the age of 16 may travel abroad without a passport of their own as long as they travel with a parent and that their name figures in the parent's passport. Some people think that this situation may contribute to international child smuggling; others may think otherwise. On NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1PIP			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q8E Full price between 12 and 15													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Top (8-10)	17%	15%	20%	17%	25%	17%	13%	18%	23%	14%	15%	15%	23%
Medium range (5-7)	19%	20%	20%	10%	27%	22%	11%	28%	19%	18%	16%	19%	20%
Low (0-4)	60%	63%	53%	69%	47%	60%	75%	54%	56%	66%	53%	61%	55%
DK/NR	4%	1%	7%	4%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	16%	5%	2%
chi ² :					(**)			(***)					
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15
mean for these data:	3.37	3.24	3.65	2.90	4.35	3.47	2.26	3.72	3.85	2.98	3.40	3.20	3.89
sig. test for means:					***		***			*			

In your view, how effective would it be to demand that children possess their own passport in order to curtail international child smuggling? Would it be very effective, somewhat effective or not at all effective?

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q9													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Very effective	32%	33%	30%	30%	100%	0%	0%	29%	30%	31%	36%	29%	39%
Somewhat effective	33%	34%	35%	24%	0%	100%	0%	24%	38%	37%	26%	33%	34%
Not at all effective	25%	25%	24%	27%	0%	0%	100%	45%	24%	22%	17%	26%	21%
DK/NR	10%	8%	10%	19%	0%	0%	0%	2%	8%	10%	21%	12%	6%
chi ² :					***			-			++		
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15

In which of the following age categories did your child belong when he or she was listed in your passport?

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q10													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	370	174	162	34	121	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	97
Less than 1 year old	13%	20%	9%	1%	13%	10%	24%	100%	0%	0%	0%	15%	9%
1 to 3 years old	27%	29%	25%	28%	26%	30%	26%	0%	100%	0%	0%	26%	30%
4 to 11 years old	43%	37%	44%	62%	42%	47%	38%	0%	0%	100%	0%	44%	39%
12 to 15 years old	16%	13%	21%	7%	18%	13%	11%	0%	0%	0%	100%	15%	20%
DK/NR	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
chi ² :		(***)						***					
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15

Considering that you may keep your child listed in your passport for 5 years, would it be likely or unlikely that, in order to benefit from new characteristics against fraud before the end of these 5 years, you request a separate passport for your child if it cost:

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1PIP			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q11													
weightd # of participants:	366	171	155	40	115	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	88
real # of participants:	368	174	161	33	120	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	95
Likely	45%	52%	39%	42%	69%	44%	18%	33%	51%	45%	48%	42%	54%
Unlikely	49%	45%	54%	49%	28%	55%	80%	65%	44%	54%	34%	52%	42%
DK/NR	5%	3%	7%	10%	3%	0%	2%	3%	6%	1%	18%	6%	4%
chi ² :		(*)			(***)			(***)					
± ... at 50%:	8	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	9	15

Would you say that this would simply be a possibility or that it would be almost certain?
 (BASE: Likely to request a separate passport before the end of the validity period)

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1PIP			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q12													
weightd # of participants:	166	89	60	17	79	55	17	16	51	70	28	118	48
real # of participants:	154	85	60	9	80	52	16	17	41	66	30	109	45
A possibility	44%	45%	35%	68%	38%	53%	14%	32%	35%	56%	37%	46%	39%
Almost certain	54%	52%	65%	26%	62%	41%	86%	68%	64%	41%	61%	52%	59%
DK/NR	2%	3%	0%	7%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
chi ² :		(*)			-	+							
± ... at 50%:	12	16	19	49	16	20	36	35	23	18	27	14	22

Interview language

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q13													
weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
real # of participants:	425	174	162	34	121	135	95	42	90	173	65	305	120
French	21%	24%	16%	31%	29%	18%	18%	14%	30%	17%	22%	20%	24%
English	79%	76%	84%	69%	71%	82%	82%	86%	70%	83%	78%	80%	76%
chi ² :			+		*			*	-				
± ... at 50%:	7	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	8	13

Gender

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent parents

		NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT			EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT		
		TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
Q14	weightd # of participants:	368	171	156	41	116	123	91	49	100	158	59	279	89
	real # of participants:	425	174	162	34	121	135	95	42	90	173	65	305	120
Female		76%	75%	73%	87%	70%	75%	80%	83%	73%	78%	70%	100%	0%
Male		24%	25%	27%	13%	30%	25%	20%	17%	27%	22%	30%	0%	100%
	chi ² :												+++	---
	± ... at 50%:	7	11	11	25	13	13	15	22	15	11	18	8	13

Simulation of the behaviour at the time of passport application

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent children

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
SIM1													
weightd # of participants:	368	103	188	77	113	120	91	39	99	168	59	282	85
real # of participants:	368	172	162	34	121	132	94	42	89	172	63	271	97
Needed the document	45%	54%	44%	37%	34%	44%	46%	54%	39%	48%	46%	47%	41%
Likely to apply and would pay as per fee schedule	9%	13%	11%	0%	12%	7%	14%	30%	9%	5%	9%	10%	9%
Likely to apply but would pay less than fee schedule	9%	8%	9%	11%	20%	7%	4%	1%	11%	8%	15%	10%	9%
Unlikely to apply	28%	19%	28%	40%	27%	39%	24%	9%	28%	32%	28%	25%	39%
Other	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
DK/NR	7%	4%	6%	13%	6%	2%	12%	6%	13%	6%	0%	8%	3%
chi ² :		(**)			(***)			(***)					
± ... at 50%:	8	12	12	27	14	14	16	24	17	12	20	9	16

Hypothetical behaviour over the current validity period of the passport

NOTE: Weighted by office so as to represent children

	NUMBER OF CHILDREN LISTED IN PASSPORT				EFFECTIVENESS OF 1P1P			AGE OF THE CHILD SELECTED				GENDER OF THE PARENT	
	TOTAL	One	Two	Three or more	Very	Some-what	Not at all	< 1	1 to 3	4 to 11	12 to 15	Women	Men
SIM2													
weightd # of participants:	365	103	187	75	111	120	91	39	99	168	59	282	83
real # of participants:	368	174	161	33	120	133	95	42	90	172	64	273	95
Almost certain to apply	23%	27%	25%	10%	37%	18%	16%	21%	30%	16%	30%	20%	33%
Possible application	19%	24%	14%	27%	31%	22%	2%	9%	17%	24%	16%	19%	19%
Unlikely application	50%	45%	54%	47%	29%	57%	81%	67%	44%	56%	31%	52%	44%
DK/NR	8%	4%	7%	16%	3%	4%	1%	3%	9%	4%	23%	9%	4%
chi ² :													
± ... at 50%:	8	12	12	27	(***) 14	14	16	(***) 24	16	12	19	* 9	16